## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/630,251	SHAFFER, TIMOTHY SCOTT	
Examiner	Art Unit	
FRANKIE L. STINSON	1792	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ith appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date	of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). On	y Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ned statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	,
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	ration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(c) I hey are not deemed to place the application in better to appeal; and/or	rm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sponding number of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se	ee attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	<u>_</u> .
non-allowable claim(s).	le if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-10 and 24-32.	ll not be entered, or b) ⊠ will be entered and an explanation of below or appended.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befo	re or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	me <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10.	ne status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.	s NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. 🔲 Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO	SB/08) Paper No(s)
13.	
	/FRANKIE L. STINSON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant now claims the controller being coupled to a "memory storing instructions", however, there is deemed no patentable distinction between the memory, storing instructions as claimed and the corresponding "ram control device (page 1, lines 29-35) in UK'251.